

**APPENDIX 3****Herd, Michael**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 13 June 2014 11:11  
**To:** [REDACTED]; Herd, Michael  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: [REDACTED] Dylways - objection to proposed waiting restrictions

Dear Mr Herd,

Thank you for replying to me. I would also still like to maintain my objection to the proposed double yellow lines in our area.

I enclose the email my husband sent you which I agree with entirely.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

---

Date: Wed, 11 Jun 2014 20:28:34 +0100  
Subject: Re: [REDACTED] Dylways - objection to proposed waiting restrictions  
From: [REDACTED]  
To: Michael.Herd@southwark.gov.uk  
CC: [REDACTED]

Dear Mr Herd

Thank you for taking the time to reply to my objections. I would still like to maintain my objection to double yellow lines alone being implemented in this scheme.

I do understand that with the current situation it is not possible for large vehicles to easily access all the roads on the estate and that something has to be done. However, by not implementing some kind of CPZ (maybe weekday 12-2pm restrictions) and only introducing double yellow lines you are only increasing problems for local Southwark residents caused by drivers from other boroughs parking outside their homes. Currently during normal working hours in the week it is virtually impossible to park on the road we live on and quite frequently my wife is forced to park as far away as Nairne Grove and then walk back with our two small children, with the new parking restrictions there will not be any available spaces on the estate and I cannot imagine how far away she will have to go to find a space. These restrictions will cause problems for all residents on the estate as delivery vehicles will be forced to park illegally and ironically block access for emergency vehicles. Are double yellow lines also being introduced in Nairne Grove, at the junction with Dylways, as large vehicles cannot turn here with vehicles parked on both side of the road either?

As you state in your email that the council does not have a specific duty to provide on street parking, do they not have a duty of care in addressing residents concerns with other issues caused by parking. I understand that a consultation into residents parking is being or has been carried out to the adjacent estate, Arnould Avenue, Wanley Road, etc. Can you please confirm whether this is true? If this is the case then it seems logical that a similar consultation should be carried out to this estate as any schemes implemented here will have a direct effect. Simply adding more double yellow lines is not a solution to the whole problem and something more needs to be done.

04/07/2014

I would be extremely grateful if you could keep me up to date with any developments regarding parking in this area.

Thanks again for you time.

Regards

[REDACTED]

On Wed, Jun 11, 2014 at 1:04 PM, Herd, Michael <[Michael.Herd@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:Michael.Herd@southwark.gov.uk)> wrote:

Dear [REDACTED],

Thank you for your objection to the proposed double yellow lines for Dylways. I also note your suggestion that a controlled parking zone should be introduced in this area.

#### **Yellow line proposals**

As background to our proposals, the council was contacted by local residents and London Fire Brigade (LFB) who all raised concern about obstructive parking occurring in your local network of streets, particularly where the road was narrow and at junctions.

Officers have carried out two site assessments to evaluate the concerns that have been raised. These assessments took place on 27 January and 24 February 2014, the latter took place with the Watch Manager and crew from London Fire Brigade (LFB) Brixton Green Watch.

During the site assessments a number of locations were identified where fire appliances would be obstructed and delayed from proceeding along the public highway in the event of a real emergency. One of those locations is Dylways at it's junction with Crossthwaite Avenue which I understand is adjacent to your property. During the assessment LFB made clear new restrictions were needed at this particular location to enable a fire appliance to be able to turn (from Dylways into Crossthwaite Avenue).

Following the assessments, we have subsequently carried out a "swept path analysis" to track the the movement of an Fire Appliance, please see attached. This drawing clearly shows that a Fire Appliance needs the full road width to turn left. With parking occurring at the junction, appliances are forced to mount the kerb and over-run the footway, which is unacceptable to the highway authority.

Whilst I understand your concern about increased parking pressure from these proposals, the authority has an explicit duty to secure the expeditious movement of traffic (known as the network management duty). Our proposals are made to discharge that duty. There is not specific duty for us to provide on-street parking, which is not a given right.

I hope that the above explains why we have made these proposals which are, in short, to ensure access and to avoid delay to emergency service vehicles which could otherwise cost lives.

In view of the above explanation, please could you confirm to me if you wish to withdraw your objection or if you would prefer to maintain your objection.

If you do maintain your objection, a report detailing any un-withdrawn objections will be sent to Camberwell Community Council for a final decision. Should this occur, we will write to advise

you of the decision.

### **Parking Zone**

At present we do not have plans to consult on a resident permit system for your area. However, we are aware of the pressure in this area. Following local elections, the council is currently preparing its 2014/15 strategic parking project. We will consider your support for a zone when preparing that programme which will be approved by the Cabinet Member in the next 4 to 6 weeks.

Regards

Michael Herd

Network development officer

Public realm projects (Parking design)

-----Original Message-----

From: [information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk)

[\[mailto:information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk\]](mailto:information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk)

Sent: 05 June 2014 20:34

To: traffic orders

Subject: Consultation response

[Title]

Mr

[Firstname]

██████████

[Lastname]

██████████

[Telephone number]

██████████████████

[Email address]

██

[Areyou]

A resident

[Whichconsultation]

Order 201 - The addition of double yellow lines to Denmark Hill Estate.

[overallresponse]

5. I wholly object to

[response]

We are residents at █████ Dylways where you propose to install double yellow lines outside our property, as well as to surrounding streets. The problem with parking on this estate is that during the week a lot of people that work in the area, mainly at King's College Hospital, park here leaving no room for residents who try to park during the day. This combined with new parking restrictions nearer the hospital mean that the problem has got increasingly worse and people have been forced to park where people didn't used to park a few years ago, such as on both sides of Woodfarms and other roads. The double yellow lines that you propose to install outside our property, █████ Dylways, are

unnecessary if you install the ones proposed to Crossthwaite Avenue as lorries managed to turn down Dylways before the parking situation got this bad. Implementing these new double yellow lines will only increase the problem of parking for residents and the only real way to resolve the situation is to introduce a CPZ. This will eliminate the need to extra double yellow lines as the problems only occur during normal working hours and not when it is only residents parking, as can be seen during the evening and at weekends. I do agree that something has to be done but more double yellow lines are not the answer.

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

**Herd, Michael**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 11 June 2014 20:29  
**To:** Herd, Michael  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Re: [REDACTED] - Dylways - objection to proposed waiting restrictions

Dear Mr Herd

Thank you for taking the time to reply to my objections. I would still like to maintain my objection to double yellow lines alone being implemented in this scheme.

I do understand that with the current situation it is not possible for large vehicles to easily access all the roads on the estate and that something has to be done. However, by not implementing some kind of CPZ (maybe weekday 12-2pm restrictions) and only introducing double yellow lines you are only increasing problems for local Southwark residents caused by drivers from other boroughs parking outside their homes. Currently during normal working hours in the week it is virtually impossible to park on the road we live on and quite frequently my wife is forced to park as far away as Nairne Grove and then walk back with our two small children, with the new parking restrictions there will not be any available spaces on the estate and I cannot imagine how far away she will have to go to find a space. These restrictions will cause problems for all residents on the estate as delivery vehicles will be forced to park illegally and ironically block access for emergency vehicles. Are double yellow lines also being introduced in Nairne Grove, at the junction with Dylways, as large vehicles cannot turn here with vehicles parked on both side of the road either?

As you state in your email that the council does not have a specific duty to provide on street parking, do they not have a duty of care in addressing residents concerns with other issues caused by parking. I understand that a consultation into residents parking is being or has been carried out to the adjacent estate, Arnould Avenue, Wanley Road, etc. Can you please confirm whether this is true? If this is the case then it seems logical that a similar consultation should be carried out to this estate as any schemes implemented here will have a direct effect. Simply adding more double yellow lines is not a solution to the whole problem and something more needs to be done.

I would be extremely grateful if you could keep me up to date with any developments regarding parking in this area.

Thanks again for you time.

Regards

[REDACTED]

On Wed, Jun 11, 2014 at 1:04 PM, Herd, Michael <[Michael.Herd@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:Michael.Herd@southwark.gov.uk)> wrote:

Dear [REDACTED],

Thank you for your objection to the proposed double yellow lines for Dylways. I also note your suggestion that a controlled parking zone should be introduced in this area.

**Yellow line proposals**

As background to our proposals, the council was contacted by local residents and London Fire Brigade (LFB) who all raised concern about obstructive parking occurring in your local network of streets, particularly where the road was narrow and at junctions.

Officers have carried out two site assessments to evaluate the concerns that have been raised. These assessments took place on 27 January and 24 February 2014, the latter took place with the Watch Manager and crew from London Fire Brigade (LFB) Brixton Green Watch.

During the site assessments a number of locations were identified where fire appliances would be obstructed and delayed from proceeding along the public highway in the event of a real emergency. One of those locations is Dylways at it's junction with Crossthwaite Avenue which I understand is adjacent to your property. During the assessment LFB made clear new restrictions were needed at this particular location to enable a fire appliance to be able to turn (from Dylways into Crossthwaite Avenue).

Following the assessments, we have subsequently carried out a "swept path analysis" to track the the movement of an Fire Appliance, please see attached. This drawing clearly shows that a Fire Appliance needs the full road width to turn left. With parking occurring at the junction, appliances are forced to mount the kerb and over-run the footway, which is unacceptable to the highway authority.

Whilst I understand your concern about increased parking pressure from these proposals, the authority has an explicit duty to secure the expeditious movement of traffic (known as the network management duty). Our proposals are made to discharge that duty. There is not specific duty for us to provide on-street parking, which is not a given right.

I hope that the above explains why we have made these proposals which are, in short, to ensure access and to avoid delay to emergency service vehicles which could otherwise cost lives.

In view of the above explanation, please could you confirm to me if you wish to withdraw your objection or if you would prefer to maintain your objection.

If you do maintain your objection, a report detailing any un-withdrawn objections will be sent to Camberwell Community Council for a final decision. Should this occur, we will write to advise you of the decision.

### **Parking Zone**

At present we do not have plans to consult on a resident permit system for your area. However, we are aware of the pressure in this area. Following local elections, the council is currently preparing its 2014/15 strategic parking project. We will consider your support for a zone when preparing that programme which will be approved by the Cabinet Member in the next 4 to 6 weeks.

Regards

Michael Herd  
Network development officer  
Public realm projects (Parking design)

-----Original Message-----

From: [information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk)  
[<mailto:information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk>]

Sent: 05 June 2014 20:34

To: traffic orders

Subject: Consultation response

[Title]



[Firstname]



[Lastname]

[Redacted]  
[Telephone number]  
[Redacted]

[Email address]  
[Redacted]

[Are you]  
A resident

[Which consultation]  
Order 201 - The addition of double yellow lines to Denmark Hill Estate.

[Overall response]  
5. I wholly object to

[response]  
We are residents at [Redacted] Dylways where you propose to install double yellow lines outside our property, as well as to surrounding streets. The problem with parking on this estate is that during the week a lot of people that work in the area, mainly at King's College Hospital, park here leaving no room for residents who try to park during the day. This combined with new parking restrictions nearer the hospital mean that the problem has got increasingly worse and people have been forced to park where people didn't used to park a few years ago, such as on both sides of Woodfarrs and other roads. The double yellow lines that you propose to install outside our property, [Redacted] Dylways, are unnecessary if you install the ones proposed to Crossthwaite Avenue as lorries managed to turn down Dylways before the parking situation got this bad. Implementing these new double yellow lines will only increase the problem of parking for residents and the only real way to resolve the situation is to introduce a CPZ. This will eliminate the need to extra double yellow lines as the problems only occur during normal working hours and not when it is only residents parking, as can be seen during the evening and at weekends. I do agree that something has to be done but more double yellow lines are not the answer.

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

**Herd, Michael**

---

**From:** Herd, Michael  
**Sent:** 11 June 2014 14:29  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: [REDACTED] - Crossthwaite Avenue - objection to proposed waiting restrictions  
**Attachments:** 1314Q4 Crossthwaite Avenue\_1.0.pdf

Dear M [REDACTED]

Thank you for your objection to the proposed double yellow lines for Dylways.

### **Yellow line proposals**

As background to our proposals, the council was contacted by local residents and London Fire Brigade (LFB) who all raised concern about obstructive parking occurring in your local network of streets, particularly where the road was narrow and at junctions.

Officers have carried out two site assessments to evaluate the concerns that have been raised. These assessments took place on 27 January and 24 February 2014, the latter took place with the Watch Manager and crew from London Fire Brigade (LFB) Brixton Green Watch.

During the site assessments a number of locations were identified where fire appliances would be obstructed and delayed from proceeding along the public highway in the event of a real emergency. see attached drawing

Whilst I understand your concern about increased parking pressure from these proposals, the authority has an explicit duty to secure the expeditious movement of traffic (known as the network management duty). Our proposals are made to discharge that duty. There is not specific duty for us to provide on-street parking, which is not a given right.

I hope that the above explains why we have made these proposals which are, in short, to ensure access and to avoid delay to emergency service vehicles which could otherwise cost lives.

In view of the above explanation, please could you confirm to me if you wish to withdraw your objection or if you would prefer to maintain your objection.

If you do maintain your objection, a report detailing any un-withdrawn objections will be sent to Camberwell Community Council for a final decision. Should this occur, we will write to advise you of the decision.

Regards

Michael Herd  
 Network development officer  
 Public realm projects (Parking design)

-----Original Message-----

From: information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk [<mailto:information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk>]  
 Sent: 10 June 2014 20:54  
 To: traffic orders  
 Subject: Consultation response

[Title]  
 [REDACTED]

[Firstname]  
 [REDACTED]

[Lastname]  
 [REDACTED]

[Telephone number]  
 [REDACTED]

04/07/2014



[Email address]

[Are you]  
A resident

[Which consultation]

Crossthwaite Avenue/Woodfarrs/Dylways Traffic Orders local parking issues dated 5th June

[overall response]

5. I wholly object to

[response]

Having lived at [redacted] Crossthwaite since 2007 I have enjoyed parking outside my house with children aged 2 and 5. We need residents only parking or just a restriction outside the shops and bt phone box, not everywhere or else we will have to park further away from home in other people's roads carrying shopping and children hundreds of yards. Residents should not be penalized for strangers parking on our estate.

**Herd, Michael**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 11 June 2014 14:36  
**To:** Herd, Michael  
**Subject:** Re: FW: [REDACTED] - Dylways, Woodfarrs and Crossthwaite Avenue - objection to proposed waiting restrictions

Thanks for replying Michael

Do me a favour please...

Ask the following official how many times in the last 20 years they've had to drive down these "narrow" streets:

"the latter took place with the Watch Manager and crew from London Fire Brigade (LFB) Brixton Green Watch."

I've lived here for over 20 years and can remember a fire engine on my street no more than 5' ish times.

Lets even double it and say 10 times (or 10 days)

365 days x 20 years = 7300 days.

In 7300 days I can remember a fire engine on my street for an inflated number of 10 lonely days.

You do the math Michael.

Now, you want to disturb the lives of people who need to park here for another 20... 40... 60 years.

You want to do this while you may be not even be in the office in 2015 as you move on to bigger and better things.

Look, I understand the importance of saving lives but c,mon fire engine drivers are trained extremely well and I'd be amazed to hear an experienced engine driver say these roads are too narrow for him to drive on for 10 days out of every 7300 days.

Honestly... survey them, the drivers.

What's more, if "narrow" roads are the real problem why have you just spent millions on making "Blanchdowne," which leads to Dylways and Woodfars so narrow that you have to crawl through it? (Take a look at your before and after.)

With all due respect there's really no logic here.

There's must be another way to help emergency vehicles take a sharp corner without affecting the lives of people who need to park there day in and day out.

There must be a better solution that costs less, can be implemented quicker and will not add even more pressure to householders. After all, we put man on the moon.

But even if the alternative costs more... you will be doing what is right for both sides, which I'm sure is the premise of your job.

Shockingly, you said " There is not specific duty for us to provide on-street parking, which is not a given right."

That's really is a low blow Michael, and I don't know the law, but if I did, I'm pretty sure I could take you to the cleaners with such a fickle defence.

Honestly, we need rights to have a spot to park a car? Seriously.

Does the British car industry know this? What's your favourite car Michael?

Think about your statement when you next...

...Driving along the road with your family for a weekend shop. Think about that when you need to take care of your aged parents who live 100 miles away. Think of that when you take your wife out on your next anniversary. Think of that when you invite all your mates home to watch England get slaughtered in the world cup (hope not)

In the meantime, remember this, human-human...

You may say we have no rights to have on street parking but I'm so pleased to see the BBC is carrying the flag... at least debating the rights of [THIS](#)

And because I would never label a fire engine driver as "butterfingered" and "inept" on the wheel

I still object to the plans **you are going to push on with anyway.**

Best

On 11 June 2014 13:37, Herd, Michael <[Michael.Herd@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:Michael.Herd@southwark.gov.uk)> wrote:

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your objection to the proposed double yellow lines for Dylways.

### **Yellow line proposals**

As background to our proposals, the council was contacted by local residents and London Fire Brigade (LFB) who all raised concern about obstructive parking occurring in your local network of streets, particularly where the road was narrow and at junctions.

Officers have carried out two site assessments to evaluate the concerns that have been raised. These assessments took place on 27 January and 24 February 2014, the latter took place with the Watch Manager and crew from London Fire Brigade (LFB) Brixton Green Watch.

During the site assessments a number of locations were identified where fire appliances would be obstructed and delayed from proceeding along the public highway in the event of a real emergency. See attached drawing.

Whilst I understand your concern about increased parking pressure from these proposals, the authority has an explicit duty to secure the expeditious movement of traffic (known as the [network management duty](#)). Our proposals are made to discharge that duty. There is not specific duty for us to provide on-street parking, which is not a given right.

I hope that the above explains why we have made these proposals which are, in short, to ensure access and to avoid delay to emergency service vehicles which could otherwise cost lives.

In view of the above explanation, please could you confirm to me if you wish to withdraw your objection or if you would prefer to maintain your objection.

If you do maintain your objection, a report detailing any un-withdrawn objections will be sent to Camberwell Community Council for a final decision. Should this occur, we will write to advise you of the decision.

I note in your objection that you say that you are registered disabled, if you are in-receipt of the either the

disability living allowance (higher rate) or the personal independence payment - enhanced rate mobility, you may qualify for a blue badge disabled bay, if this is the case let me know and I can send an application form to you.

Regards

Michael Herd  
Network development officer  
Public realm projects (Parking design)

-----Original Message-----

From: [information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk)  
[<mailto:information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk>]

Sent: 10 June 2014 12:15

To: traffic orders

Subject: Consultation response

[Title]  
[REDACTED]

[Firstname]  
[REDACTED]

[Lastname]  
[REDACTED]

[Telephone\_number]  
[REDACTED]

[Email address]  
[REDACTED]

[Areyou]  
A resident

[Whichconsultation]  
Dylways, Woodfarrs and Crossthwaite Avenue.

PRP/ND/TMO1415-001

[overallresponse]  
5. I wholly object to

[response]  
Hospital workers and Denmark Hill Station commuters already steal our parking without restrictions. I am registered disabled but struggle continuously to get a parking outside my home. Can you not see the difficulties already? Why not ask the residents before you waste money and make our lives more uncomfortable. With all due reasons, your reason to add these restrictions is laughable. Any more restrictions and residents will suffer.. like they already are on Blannedowne with your waste of funds pavement job. People have already lost carparking up there. Even they now have to park on Dylways. This is so basic, I am at a loss to understand how you cannot see this.

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal

and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

**Herd, Michael**

---

**From:** Herd, Michael  
**Sent:** 30 June 2014 13:43  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: [REDACTED] - Crossthwaite Avenue - objection to proposed waiting restrictions

Dear M [REDACTED],

Thank you for your objection to the proposed double yellow lines for Crossthwaite Avenue, Woodfarrs and Dylways.

### **Yellow line proposals**

As background to our proposals, the council was contacted by local residents and London Fire Brigade (LFB) who all raised concern about obstructive parking occurring in your local network of streets, particularly where the road was narrow and at junctions.

Officers have carried out two site assessments to evaluate the concerns that have been raised. These assessments took place on 27 January and 24 February 2014, the latter took place with the Watch Manager and crew from London Fire Brigade (LFB) Brixton Green Watch.

During the site assessments a number of locations were identified where fire appliances would be obstructed and delayed from proceeding along the public highway in the event of a real emergency.

Whilst I understand your concern about increased parking pressure from these proposals, the authority has an explicit duty to secure the expeditious movement of traffic (known as the network management duty). Our proposals are made to discharge that duty. There is not specific duty for us to provide on-street parking, which is not a given right.

I hope that the above explains why we have made these proposals which are, in short, to ensure access and to avoid delay to emergency service vehicles which could otherwise cost lives.

### **Parking Zone**

At present we do not have plans to consult on a resident permit system for your area. However, we are aware of the pressure in this area. Following local elections, the council is currently preparing its 2014/15 strategic parking project. We will consider your support for a zone when preparing that programme which will be approved by the Cabinet Member in the next 4 to 6 weeks.

As we have recieved a number of objections a report detailing the objections, including yours will be sent to Camberwell Community Council for a final decision in July.

Regards

Michael Herd  
 Network development officer  
 Public realm projects (Parking design)

-----Original Message-----

From: information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk [<mailto:information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk>]  
 Sent: 29 June 2014 15:52  
 To: traffic orders  
 Subject: Consultation response

[Title]  
 [REDACTED]

[Firstname]  
 [REDACTED]

[Lastname]

04/07/2014

[REDACTED]

[Telephone number]

[REDACTED]

[Email address]

[REDACTED]

[Are you]

A resident

[Which consultation]

1081 ( double yellow lines on Crosswaithe Avenue, Woodfarrs and some of Dylways)

[overall response]

5. I wholly object to

[response]

We are already struggling with parking on Dylways. If council puts double yellow lines, the situation is going to get even worse! Where are we supposed to park our cars?

**Herd, Michael**

---

**From:** Herd, Michael  
**Sent:** 12 June 2014 11:26  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: [REDACTED] - Dylways - objection to proposed waiting restrictions  
 Dear Mrs [REDACTED],

Thank you for your objection to the proposed double yellow lines for Dylways. I also note your suggestion that a controlled parking zone should be introduced in this area.

#### **Yellow line proposals**

As background to our proposals, the council was contacted by local residents and London Fire Brigade (LFB) who all raised concern about obstructive parking occurring in your local network of streets, particularly where the road was narrow and at junctions.

Officers have carried out two site assessments to evaluate the concerns that have been raised. These assessments took place on 27 January and 24 February 2014, the latter took place with the Watch Manager and crew from London Fire Brigade (LFB) Brixton Green Watch.

During the site assessments a number of locations were identified where fire appliances would be obstructed and delayed from proceeding along the public highway in the event of a real emergency.

Whilst I understand your concern about increased parking pressure from these proposals, the authority has an explicit duty to secure the expeditious movement of traffic (known as the network management duty). Our proposals are made to discharge that duty. There is not specific duty for us to provide on-street parking, which is not a given right.

I hope that the above explains why we have made these proposals which are, in short, to ensure access and to avoid delay to emergency service vehicles which could otherwise cost lives.

A report detailing your objection will be sent to Camberwell Community Council for a final decision in July.

Regards

Michael Herd  
 Network development officer

-----Original Message-----

From: information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk [<mailto:information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk>]  
 Sent: 11 June 2014 19:30  
 To: traffic orders  
 Subject: Consultation response

[Title]  
 [REDACTED]

[Firstname]  
 [REDACTED]

[Lastname]  
 [REDACTED]

[Telephone number]  
 [REDACTED]

[Email address]  
 [REDACTED]

[Are you]  
 A resident

04/07/2014



[Whichconsultation]

The cuncil want to put double yellow line.

■Dylways

[overallresponse]

5. I wholly object to

[response]

NO PLACE TO PARK MY CAR !!

**Herd, Michael**

---

**From:** Herd, Michael  
**Sent:** 17 June 2014 11:13  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: [REDACTED] - Dylways - objection to proposed waiting restrictions  
**Dear** [REDACTED],

Thank you for your objection to the proposed double yellow lines for Dylways.

**Yellow line proposals**

As background to our proposals, the council was contacted by local residents and London Fire Brigade (LFB) who all raised concern about obstructive parking occurring in your local network of streets, particularly where the road was narrow and at junctions.

Officers have carried out two site assessments to evaluate the concerns that have been raised. These assessments took place on 27 January and 24 February 2014, the latter took place with the Watch Manager and crew from London Fire Brigade (LFB) Brixton Green Watch.

During the site assessments a number of locations were identified where fire appliances would be obstructed and delayed from proceeding along the public highway in the event of a real emergency.

Whilst I understand your concern about increased parking pressure from these proposals, the authority has an explicit duty to secure the expeditious movement of traffic (known as the network management duty). Our proposals are made to discharge that duty. There is not specific duty for us to provide on-street parking, which is not a given right.

I hope that the above explains why we have made these proposals which are, in short, to ensure access and to avoid delay to emergency service vehicles which could otherwise cost lives.

As we have recieved a number of objections a report detailing the objections, including yours will be sent to Camberwell Community Council for a final decision in July.

Regards

Michael Herd  
Network development officer

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Herbert, Richard On Behalf Of traffic orders  
**Sent:** 17 June 2014 10:46  
**To:** Herd, Michael  
**Subject:** [REDACTED] - Dylways - objection to proposed waiting restrictions

-----Original Message-----

**From:** information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk [<mailto:information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk>]  
**Sent:** 15 June 2014 12:19  
**To:** traffic orders  
**Subject:** Consultation response

[Title]  
[REDACTED]

[Firstname]  
[REDACTED]

[Lastname]  
[REDACTED]

[Telephone number]  
[REDACTED]

04/07/2014

[Email address]

[REDACTED]

[Are you]

A resident

[Which consultation]

Dylways

[overall response]

4. I object to part

[response]

I think that adding double yellow line on Dylways will add additional problems for residence parking. This need to be agreed with local community.

**Herd, Michael**

---

**From:** Herd, Michael

**Sent:** 12 June 2014 11:37

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: [REDACTED] - Crossthwaite Avenue - objection to proposed waiting restrictions

Dear Miss [REDACTED],

Thank you for your objection to the proposed double yellow lines for Crossthwaite Avenue, I also note your suggestion that a controlled parking zone should be introduced in this area.

### **Yellow line proposals**

As background to our proposals, the council was contacted by local residents and London Fire Brigade (LFB) who all raised concern about obstructive parking occurring in your local network of streets, particularly where the road was narrow and at junctions.

Officers have carried out two site assessments to evaluate the concerns that have been raised. These assessments took place on 27 January and 24 February 2014, the latter took place with the Watch Manager and crew from London Fire Brigade (LFB) Brixton Green Watch.

During the site assessments a number of locations were identified where fire appliances would be obstructed and delayed from proceeding along the public highway in the event of a real emergency.

Whilst I understand your concern about increased parking pressure from these proposals, the authority has an explicit duty to secure the expeditious movement of traffic (known as the network management duty). Our proposals are made to discharge that duty. There is not specific duty for us to provide on-street parking, which is not a given right.

I hope that the above explains why we have made these proposals which are, in short, to ensure access and to avoid delay to emergency service vehicles which could otherwise cost lives.

A report detailing your objection will be sent to Camberwell Community Council for a final decision in July.

I note in your objection that you say that your father is disabled, if he are in-receipt of the either the disability living allowance (higher rate) or the personal independence payment - enhanced rate mobility, he may qualify for a blue badge disabled bay, if this is the case let me know and I can send an application form to you.

Regards

Michael Herd  
Network development officer

-----Original Message-----

From: information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk [<mailto:information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk>]

Sent: 11 June 2014 20:48

To: traffic orders

Subject: Consultation response

[Title]

Miss

[Firstname]

[REDACTED]

[Lastname]

[REDACTED]

[Telephone number]

[REDACTED]

[Email address]

[REDACTED]

04/07/2014

[Areyou]  
A resident

[Whichconsultation]  
Double yellow lines in SE5 [REDACTED]

[overallresponse]  
5. I wholly object to

[response]  
I object to this proposal, my father lives in no [REDACTED] he is disabled and cannot walk long distances we need the car close by when he wants to go out it is unfair as we have been home owners for over 15yrs and we have a right to park our cars close to our homes, recently parking on the streets of this neighbourhood has become ridiculous due to some homes have been rented out and tenants have not been parking the cars inappropriately for vans and lorries to be able to drive through and the hairdressers clients across the road park inappropriately. I don't believe it is fair that actually residents should have to suffer and park further away from their homes. Maybe the council should consider residents parking?? And a disabled by for my father?? I look forward to your reply thank you

**Herd, Michael**

---

**From:** Herd, Michael  
**Sent:** 12 June 2014 11:29  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: [REDACTED] - Crossthwaite Avenue - objection to proposed waiting restrictions  
**Dear** [REDACTED]

Thank you for your objection to the proposed double yellow lines for Crossthwaite Avenue.

#### **Yellow line proposals**

As background to our proposals, the council was contacted by local residents and London Fire Brigade (LFB) who all raised concern about obstructive parking occurring in your local network of streets, particularly where the road was narrow and at junctions.

Officers have carried out two site assessments to evaluate the concerns that have been raised. These assessments took place on 27 January and 24 February 2014, the latter took place with the Watch Manager and crew from London Fire Brigade (LFB) Brixton Green Watch.

During the site assessments a number of locations were identified where fire appliances would be obstructed and delayed from proceeding along the public highway in the event of a real emergency.

Whilst I understand your concern about increased parking pressure from these proposals, the authority has an explicit duty to secure the expeditious movement of traffic (known as the network management duty). Our proposals are made to discharge that duty. There is not specific duty for us to provide on-street parking, which is not a given right.

I hope that the above explains why we have made these proposals which are, in short, to ensure access and to avoid delay to emergency service vehicles which could otherwise cost lives.

A report detailing your objection will be sent to Camberwell Community Council for a final decision in July.

Regards

Michael Herd  
 Network development officer

-----Original Message-----

From: information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk [<mailto:information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk>]  
 Sent: 11 June 2014 20:37  
 To: traffic orders  
 Subject: Consultation response

[Title]  
 Mr

[REDACTED]

[Lastname]  
 [REDACTED]

[Telephone number]  
 [REDACTED]

[Email address]  
 [REDACTED]

[Areyou]  
 A resident

[Whichconsultation]

04/07/2014

Double yellow lines in Crossthwaite Ave SE5 [REDACTED]

[overallresponse]

5. I wholly object to

[response]

I object as this will affect my access to my car as I am disabled and I live in [REDACTED] Crossthwaite Ave, I cannot walk long distances without getting out of breath and it is already difficult on occasions when non-residents abuse parking and my family have to drop me off and then find parking, I wouldn't mind if the council decide to in force residents parking on one side of the street or if they could give me a disabled parking section (please advise) but overall I object to this proposal!

**Herd, Michael**

---

**From:** Herd, Michael  
**Sent:** 17 June 2014 11:23  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: [REDACTED] - Dylways - objection to proposed waiting restrictions  
 Dear Mr [REDACTED]

Thank you for your objection to the proposed double yellow lines for Crossthwaite Avenue and Dylways. I also note your suggestion that a controlled parking zone should be introduced in this area.

### **Yellow line proposals**

As background to our proposals, the council was contacted by local residents and London Fire Brigade (LFB) who all raised concern about obstructive parking occurring in your local network of streets, particularly where the road was narrow and at junctions.

Officers have carried out two site assessments to evaluate the concerns that have been raised. These assessments took place on 27 January and 24 February 2014, the latter took place with the Watch Manager and crew from London Fire Brigade (LFB) Brixton Green Watch.

During the site assessments a number of locations were identified where fire appliances would be obstructed and delayed from proceeding along the public highway in the event of a real emergency.

Whilst I understand your concern about increased parking pressure from these proposals, the authority has an explicit duty to secure the expeditious movement of traffic (known as the network management duty). Our proposals are made to discharge that duty. There is not specific duty for us to provide on-street parking, which is not a given right.

I hope that the above explains why we have made these proposals which are, in short, to ensure access and to avoid delay to emergency service vehicles which could otherwise cost lives.

### **Parking Zone**

At present we do not have plans to consult on a resident permit system for your area. However, we are aware of the pressure in this area. Following local elections, the council is currently preparing its 2014/15 strategic parking project. We will consider your support for a zone when preparing that programme which will be approved by the Cabinet Member in the next 4 to 6 weeks.

As we have received a number of objections a report detailing the objections, including yours will be sent to Camberwell Community Council for a final decision in July.

Regards

Michael Herd  
 Network development officer  
 Public realm projects (Parking design)

-----Original Message-----

From: information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk [<mailto:information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk>]  
 Sent: 15 June 2014 20:24  
 To: traffic orders  
 Subject: Consultation response

[Title]  
 Mr

[Firstname]  
 [REDACTED]

[Lastname]  
 [REDACTED]

04/07/2014



[Telephone number]

[REDACTED]

[Email address]

[REDACTED]

[Are you]

A resident

[Which consultation]

Dylways, Crossthwaite Avenue double yellow lines proposal.

[Overall response]

5. I wholly object to

[response]

The above roads and all nearby ones are targeted by non residents as free parking space for their cars.

As it is already it's difficult for us residents find a convenient space to park our car near our house, (we are a family with baby). Although we understand the need for some of those roads to be given double yellow lines, without a proper residents parking scheme in operation it will only increase parking congestion and lead to possible arguing with non residents looking to park on our streets.

Please run a consultation on residents parking only.

**Herd, Michael**

---

**From:** Herd, Michael  
**Sent:** 16 June 2014 14:51  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: [REDACTED] - Crossthwaite Avenue - objection to proposed waiting restrictions  
 Dear [REDACTED],

My apologies if my response has given you the impression that a decision has already been made, it has not. The email detailed the reasons for proposing the double yellow lines.

A report detailing all objections, including yours will be presented to the Dulwich community council for ward members to make a determination on whether to up hold or reject objections. This not a power held by officers.

Regards

Michael Herd

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 16 June 2014 14:39  
**To:** Herd, Michael  
**Subject:** Re: [REDACTED] - Crossthwaite Avenue - objection to proposed waiting restrictions

Dear Mr Michael Herd,

From your response it is clear that this is not a consultation with residents of the area. This decision appears to have already been cast in stone, no matter what the impact of those of us that live here.

It is no wonder that there is little trust with the council.

Your sincerely

[REDACTED]

On Thu, Jun 12, 2014 at 11:32 AM, Herd, Michael <[Michael.Herd@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:Michael.Herd@southwark.gov.uk)> wrote:

Dear [REDACTED],

Thank you for your objection to the proposed double yellow lines for Crossthwaite Avenue.

#### **Yellow line proposals**

As background to our proposals, the council was contacted by local residents and London Fire Brigade (LFB) who all raised concern about obstructive parking occurring in your local network of streets, particularly where the road was narrow and at junctions.

Officers have carried out two site assessments to evaluate the concerns that have been raised. These assessments took place on 27 January and 24 February 2014, the latter took place with the Watch Manager and crew from London Fire Brigade (LFB) Brixton Green Watch.

During the site assessments a number of locations were identified where fire appliances would be obstructed and delayed from proceeding along the public highway in the event of a real emergency.

Whilst I understand your concern about increased parking pressure from these proposals, the authority has an explicit duty to secure the expeditious movement of traffic (known as the network management duty). Our proposals are made to discharge that duty. There is not specific duty for us to provide on-street parking, which is not a given right.

I hope that the above explains why we have made these proposals which are, in short, to ensure access and to avoid delay to emergency service vehicles which could otherwise cost lives.

A report detailing your objection will be sent to Camberwell Community Council for a final decision in July.

Regards

Michael Herd  
Network development officer

-----Original Message-----

From: [information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk)  
[<mailto:information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk>]

Sent: 11 June 2014 20:42

To: traffic orders

Subject: Consultation response

[Title]

Mrs

[Firstname]

[REDACTED]

[Lastname]

[REDACTED]

[Telephone number]

[REDACTED]

[Email address]

[REDACTED]

[Areyou]

A resident

[Whichconsultation]

CROSTHWAITE AVENUE, to introduce 'at any time' waiting restrictions on the northwest side: [i] outside Nos. 2-4 Crossthwaite Avenue, [ii] outside Turner Court, [iii] outside Hunter Court, and [iv] on the south-east side outside Nos. 21-27 Crossthwaite Avenue; DYLWAYS, to introduce 'at any time' waiting restrictions on the north-east side opposite its junction with Crossthwaite Avenue; WOODFARRS, to introduce 'at any time' waiting restrictions throughout the south-west side, and on all sides of the island site located at its junction with Dylways/Nairne Grove;

[overallresponse]

5. I wholly object to

[response]

I am an elderly person and there isn't sufficient parking in order for resident cars, visitor or even being able to use taxi service. Removing parking will make life very difficult.

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other

person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

**Herd, Michael**

---

**From:** Herd, Michael  
**Sent:** 17 June 2014 11:18  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: [REDACTED] - Crossthwaite Avenue - objection/representation re proposed waiting restrictions  
 Dear [REDACTED],

Thank you for your objection to the proposed double yellow lines for Crossthwaite Avenue. I also note your suggestion that a controlled parking zone should be introduced in this area.

#### **Yellow line proposals**

As background to our proposals, the council was contacted by local residents and London Fire Brigade (LFB) who all raised concern about obstructive parking occurring in your local network of streets, particularly where the road was narrow and at junctions.

Officers have carried out two site assessments to evaluate the concerns that have been raised. These assessments took place on 27 January and 24 February 2014, the latter took place with the Watch Manager and crew from London Fire Brigade (LFB) Brixton Green Watch.

During the site assessments a number of locations were identified where fire appliances would be obstructed and delayed from proceeding along the public highway in the event of a real emergency.

Whilst I understand your concern about increased parking pressure from these proposals, the authority has an explicit duty to secure the expeditious movement of traffic (known as the network management duty). Our proposals are made to discharge that duty. There is not specific duty for us to provide on-street parking, which is not a given right.

I hope that the above explains why we have made these proposals which are, in short, to ensure access and to avoid delay to emergency service vehicles which could otherwise cost lives.

#### **Parking Zone**

At present we do not have plans to consult on a resident permit system for your area. However, we are aware of the pressure in this area. Following local elections, the council is currently preparing its 2014/15 strategic parking project. We will consider your support for a zone when preparing that programme which will be approved by the Cabinet Member in the next 4 to 6 weeks.

As we have received a number of objections a report detailing the objections, including yours will be sent to Camberwell Community Council for a final decision in July.

Regards  
 Michael Herd  
 Network development officer  
 Public realm projects (Parking design)

---

**From:** Herbert, Richard **On Behalf Of** traffic orders  
**Sent:** 17 June 2014 10:47  
**To:** Herd, Michael  
**Subject:** [REDACTED] - Crossthwaite Avenue - objection/representation re proposed waiting restrictions

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 15 June 2014 16:53  
**To:** traffic orders  
**Subject:** ref prp/nd/tmo1415-001

04/07/2014

Dear Sir, As a resident and car owner,I am writing regarding the proposed double yellow lines on Crossthwaite Avenue.

Having lived on the estate for 64 years,when my Father's car was the only car on the street! I have seen the increase in

cars ,especially in the last 6 years since the closure of roads for parking around kings College Hospital and surrounding

area.People come and park their cars and go off to catch trains and buses then return in the evening,leaving our housing

estate a glorified car park ! .I agree something needs to be done for the reasons of safety ,but why penalise the residents?

Give us some form of parking permit and the yellow lines so we can get rid of the day trippers!.

yours sincerely

ps please direct to Nicky Costin